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Abstract: The present paper predicts the silicon limit characteristics of IGBTs, and proposes a novel device structure to achieve the limit. For power MOSFETs, the electrical characteristics have been sufficiently improved, so that the peripheral circuits are required to be improved for faster switching. The authors propose an ideal gate drive to realize the ultimate high speed switching of power MOSFETs. Integration of power devices and the gate drive circuits in a single chip is considered to be an ideal solution. The authors propose 1 chip solution and demonstrate 12V 10A 1chip DCDC converters. The authors also propose a new FOM for switching speed comparison of power devices.

I. EVOLUTION OF POWER DEVICES

Power devices have evolved so rapidly that 3.3kV IGBTs have even replaced 4.5kV GTOs, which was developed in the late 80’s for traction control of bullet trains. Figure 1 and 2 show application fields of power devices in 1997 and 2005, respectively[1]. The distinguished difference between the two figures is that most of the applications of GTO and BTr have been occupied by IGBT and the module.

MOS gate devices are predominantly used in almost all of the application fields, including LDMOS in power ICs, MOSFETs for low voltage and medium voltage applications and IGBTs for high power applications.

Super junction devices were first developed and reported in 1998[2]. They broke through the so-called silicon unipolar device limit in the voltage range from 200V to 700V and significantly enhanced the potential of silicon devices.

Another recent remarkable advancements are seen in high speed trench power MOSFETs, intelligent power module(IPM) and power IC technologies. Trench MOSFET switching speed has been greatly improved since 1999 in order to meet the requirement of high efficiency and high di/dt of Voltage Regulator Modules for CPUs. The details are described in Section III. Progress in IPM was already reviewed in Ref.[3]. Power IC technologies are classified into two categories. One is high voltage SOI power ICs[4] for monolithic DC motor control and PDP flat panel display drive. The other is low voltage power ICs for motor control and power supplies[5]. We have developed the world first 500V 1 and 3 Ampere one chip inverter ICs for DC motor control in 1994 and 1999[4].

Recently, it has been often pointed out that silicon devices face the material limit. It is important to make clear the limit characteristics of silicon devices and the future potential of silicon devices to be exploited. The author also proposes new FOM[6] in order to facilitate achieving the limit characteristics of high speed MOSFET.
satisfying the concept of Becke, were developed, for the first time, by the authors’ group in 1984[11,12]. Figure 3 shows the world first demonstration of load-short-circuit capability of non-latch-up IGBTs.

The electrical characteristic of IGBTs have been steadily improved since the advent of the first IGBT product. However, the theoretical limit of IGBTs has not been discussed yet. In the present paper, the authors present the theory for the silicon limit of IGBT[13].

B. Theory for silicon limit of IGBTs

This section proposes a theory to achieve the lowest forward voltage drop in IGBTs and proposes a new trench gate IEGT/IGBT, realizing the theoretical limit.

The adopted assumption is asymmetrical conduction: “all of the current flows by electrons.” Holes contribute only to the conductivity modulation. From the assumption of no hole current flow, the following equations are valid under the high injection condition:

\[ J_p = qD_p \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - q\mu pE = 0 \]  
\[ J_n = J_{total} = 2 \times qD_n \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} \]  
\[ E = \frac{kT}{q} \frac{1}{n} \frac{n}{n} \]  

The situation is satisfied by assuming that the carrier density distribution is approximately a linearly decreasing function from cathode to anode. The current density \( J \) – voltage \( V \) relation of the silicon limit IGBT can be derived by integrating Eq.(2) with boundary values \( n_0 \) and \( n_w \), and using the equations shown below [13,14]:

\[ D_n = \frac{a}{n + b}, J_p = q D_p n_0 / Q, V_F = V_i + V_{diff}, \]
\[ V_i = (kT/q) \ln(n_0/n_0), V_{diff} = (kT/q) \ln(n_0/n_0) \]
\[ V_p = \frac{2kT}{q} \ln\left[ \frac{1}{n_i} \left( \sqrt{\frac{Q}{qD_n}} + b \right) \exp\left( \frac{JW_s}{2qa} \right) - b \right] \]
\[ + R_{ch} J, \]  

where \( R_{ch}, Q \) and \( W_N \) denote the channel resistance, the p-emitter dose and n-base width, respectively. \( n_0 \) and \( n_w \) denote the electron densities at the both ends of the n-base. \( a \) and \( b \) are constants. (see Ref.[13] for details.)

Equation (4) predicts that one order of magnitude improvement in the current-voltage relation is possible, compared with those of conventional IGBTs. Fig.4(a) compares the predicted V-I curve and that of conventional 600V IGBT.

In order to realize an ideal IGBT, the author proposes a new IGBT structure, as shown in Fig.4(b), which realizes a very high electron injection efficiency in MOS gate structure. If the trench to trench distance (mesa width) is as thin as the thickness of the inversion layer, the two inversion channel layers on the both trench side walls merge and constitute a high concentration N-type layer in the narrow mesa, serving as a barrier for holes. For example, if the mesa width is less than 40nm, the induced electron density is greater than 5x10^17 cm^-3, and effectively blocks the hole current flow in the mesa, realizing electron injection efficiency of more than 0.9. The proposed narrow mesa IGBT realizes a low forward voltage even with the p-emitter of very low injection efficiency. The details are described in [13].

Figure 5 compares the on-resistance of the theoretical IGBT limit with state of the art devices. Silicon IGBTs will realize low on-resistance even below SiC limit for over 1.5kV range.

The author will also predict a more practical silicon limit in Ref.[19] using the flat carrier profile in the N-base.

III. POWER MOSFET

A. Recent advancement in MOSFET

Since 1999, switching speed of power MOSFETs has been greatly improved for the application of VRM (Voltage Regulator Module) for CPUs. The FOM of \( R_{on}Q_G \) is conventionally adopted for high speed MOSFETs as a design guide.
Figure 6 shows the Toshiba’s roadmap of 30V power MOSFET. $R_{on}Q_{ds}$ was improved from 120mΩmC in 1999 to 30mΩmC in 2005. The buck converter efficiency was improved from 85.5% in 2000 to 90% in 2004.

B. Multi-chip Module

If one try to pursue higher efficiency of synchronous buck converters, it is recognized that the following three items are equally important in addition to the improvement of MOSFETs: (1) reduction in parasitic inductances of the power stage circuits, (2) prevention of self-turn-on of low-side MOSFET, and (3) dead-time optimization.

Figure 7 shows the influence of each parasitic inductance on buck converter efficiency. Each parasitic inductance is defined in the circuit in Fig. 7. The most influential one is the high side MOSFET source parasitic inductance, $L_{HS}$. If the MOSFET is turned-on, the drain current increase rate, $dI_D/dt$, induces the voltage drop in the parasitic inductance $L_{HS}$. The induced voltage drop reduces the actually applied gate-source voltage, resulting in the delayed turn-on. In order to realize the first switching-on, the parasitic source inductance should be minimized.

If the gate drive circuit impedance is assumed to be very low and if the value of $Q_{gd}/IG$ is negligibly small, the 2nd term in Eq.(5) disappears. The switching loss is determined only by the main junction capacitance loss as shown in Eq.(6).

\[
P_{\text{loss}} = R_{on}I_D + I_D V_D \frac{Q_{gd}}{I_g} f + \frac{1}{3} Q_{ds} V_D f + Q_a V_G f + Q_{d} V_f = \text{Eq.(5)}
\]

Again, if the gate loss assumed to be small compared with the first two terms, $R_{on}Q_{ds}$ is regarded reasonably as a figure of merit (FOM) for MOSFETs.

If the gate drive circuit impedance is assumed to be very low and if the value of $Q_{gd}/IG$ is negligibly small, the 2nd term in Eq.(5) disappears. The switching loss is determined only by the main junction capacitance loss as shown in Eq.(6).

\[
P_{\text{loss}} = R_{on}I_D + \frac{1}{3} Q_{ds} V_D f + Q_a V_G f \text{ --Eq.(6)}
\]

The low impedance gate drive is especially effective for MCM with low voltage MOSFETs or monolithic solution, because low impedance gate circuits can be easily implemented.

It should be noted that the switching-off loss, $Q_{ds} V_D/3$, does not depend on the magnitude of the drain current. This is the distinguished difference from the conventional

C. Future technology for Multi-Chip-Module[1]

In the conventional gate drive circuit, switching speed is determined by $Q_{gd}/I_g$. The total power loss is expressed as follows:

\[
P_{\text{loss}} = R_{on}I_D + I_D V_D \frac{Q_{gd}}{I_g} f + \frac{1}{3} Q_{ds} V_D f + Q_a V_G f + Q_{d} V_f = \text{Eq.(5)}
\]

The low impedance gate drive is especially effective for MCM with low voltage MOSFETs or monolithic solution, because low impedance gate circuits can be easily implemented.

The Fig. 8 shows MCM, called DrMOS, proposed by Intel. Three chips of high-side and low-side MOSFETs and the driver circuit are mounted in the single package, thus minimizing the parasitic impedances. DrMOS improves converter efficiency by 4% as shown in Fig.9, even if the same rated MOSFET chips are used.
switching, whose switching loss depends on the $Q_{\text{gs}}$ value and the drain current.

Figure 11 compares the efficiency of DCDC converters for the two gate drive conditions of conventional gate drive circuit and the low impedance (0.4 Ohm) gate drive circuit. For the low impedance gate drive condition, the efficiency will improve and achieve more than 90% at 30A output current even if the same MOSFETs are used. These results imply that the efficiency in DC-DC converters is still expected to be improved in future. In fact, it is predicted that more than 95% conversion efficiency is expected even for 30A output current, as seen in Fig.11, if we can develop ultimate MOSFETs with the silicon limit specific on-resistance of $5 \mu\Omega \text{mm}^2$ with retaining low $Q_{\text{gs}}$ value of 0.67nC/mm$^2$.

It should be noted that $Q_{\text{sv}}$ depends on the operating condition just like $Q_{\text{gs}}$. Equation (9) assumes that the applied voltage is almost the same as the breakdown voltage.

As for 30V silicon MOSFET, device simulation shows that the turn-off time is expected to be 2 nsec by ideal gate drive as shown in Fig.10. This value coincides with the one calculated from $Q_{\text{sv}}/I_D$.

Figure 12 shows comparison of NFOM among various devices. NFOM of IGBTs depends on the design of the devices. The squares show the NFOM of the IGBTs proposed in Section II. The circles show high speed IGBTs, having flat carrier density distribution. Super-junction MOSFETs indicated by circles show the ideal simulation results. SiC MOSFETs are plotted, using the product of the reported on-resistance values. NFOM value of GaN FETs is calculated by multiplying the reported on-resistance and two-dimensional electron gas density of $1 \times 10^{13} \text{cm}^{-2}$.

Reported SiC MOSFETs are not far better than silicon super junction MOSFETs because the currently available on-resistance is not sufficiently low from the view point of NFOM.

For less than 100V range, conventional silicon MOSFETs are superior, and are expected to be even better than new material devices.

D. New FOM [1, 6]

In this section, we introduce a new figure of merit, NFOM, based on Equation (6).

$$\text{NFOM} = R_{\text{on}} Q_{\text{sv}} = T_{\text{sw}} V_F$$

, where $Q_{\text{sv}}$ is stored carrier quantity between the drain and the source terminals. For MOSFETs, $Q_{\text{sv}}$ is equivalent to $Q_{\text{gs}}$. Switching time, $T_{\text{sw}}$, and forward voltage, $V_F$, are expressed as follows:

$$T_{\text{sw}} = \frac{Q_{\text{sv}}}{I_D}, V_F = R_{\text{on}} I_D$$

The maximum switching frequency of a specific device is directly related to NFOM through Eq.(6).

$Q_{\text{sv}}$ and $R_{\text{on}}$ are represented by the following equations, if ideal $R_{\text{on}}$ of Eq.(10) is assumed and if the applied voltage is approximately the same as the breakdown voltage of the device:

$$Q_{\text{sv}} = \frac{\mu Ef_c}{2}$$

$$R_{\text{on}} = \frac{4V_{\text{bd}}^2}{\mu f_c^{3/2}}$$

Then, the NFOM is expressed as follows for the case of the ideal silicon device:

$$\text{NFOM} = 4V_{\text{bd}}^2/\mu f_c^{3/2} = 4V_{\text{bd}}^2/\mu BHFOM$$

The NFOM value is closely related to the BHFOM under the special assumptions. NFOM can be defined for each device, including bipolar devices.

Fig.12 RonQstr as function of breakdown voltage

Fig.13 Cross-sectional view of 20V output power devices.

IV. 12V 10A ONE CHIP DCDC CONVERTER

A good method to realize the ideal gate drive circuit of very low impedance is to integrate the driver circuits with the power MOSFETs. This can be easily realized using lateral MOSFET and BCD power IC technology. It is highly expected that high speed switching can be realized in the integrated solution. In this chapter, we
demonstrate 10A operation and high speed switching in the integrated solution.

A. Device Structure

Figure 13 shows a cross-sectional view of 20V output LDMOS devices based on 0.6µm BiCD process. The buried N⁺ layer is electrically connected to the source electrode to reduce the coupling between the drain and the substrate. Three metal layers with a 3µm thick top metal layer are utilized. We adopted Pch LDMOS as the high side switch in our chip.

For the optimized Nch LDMOS, the typical breakdown voltage, the threshold voltage and the specific on-resistance are 25.0V, 0.85V and 23.1mΩmm², respectively.

B. Bump Technology

It is generally true that the specific on-resistance of lateral MOSFETs deteriorates considerably with increase in device size due to the increased interconnection resistance. In order to reduce the interconnect resistance, bumping technology was adopted.

Figure 14 shows the simplified images for the layout pattern of the top metal of the chip and the Cu pattern on a printed circuit board (PCB). The chip is attached to the PCB board through bump balls.

The thick Cu metal layers in the PCB can be used as interconnection wires and electrically connect the drain and source bumps. This method significantly reduces the interconnection resistances of lateral MOSFETs.

C. Distributed Driver Layout

When the area of LDMOS is large, the gate current becomes increasingly large. The whole LDMOS device doesn’t uniformly turn-on or -off because the gate drive delay may occur within the large LDMOS device area due to the parasitic resistances and the capacitances of the interconnection metal layers. Especially in the turn-off period, the gate delay may cause significant non-uniform switching. We propose “distributed driver circuit layout” to overcome the problem[17]

Figure 15 compares the distributed driver circuit layout and the conventional concentrated driver circuit layout. In the concentrated driver circuit layout (a), large gate current causes non-uniform gate voltage distribution. In the distributed driver circuit layout (b), a number of gate drivers are formed in the local regions nearest to the LDMOS device segments. The length between the each driver and the each segmented LDMOS is made as short as possible. The current magnitude in the signal bus line electrically connecting the pre-driver and the gate drivers is small, and does not cause the gate signal delay.

We compared the switching of LDMOS’s between the distributed driver circuit and the concentrated driver circuit when the input voltage, the load resistance and switching frequency are 12V, 1.2Ω and 780kHz, respectively. Figure 15(a) and (b) compares the simulated transient characteristics of the drain current for the nearest segment LDMOS and the farthest segment LDMOS. The whole LDMOS devices can be uniformly turned-on and -off for the distributed driver case. The LDMOS turn-off loss with distributed driver circuit can be reduced to 54% of that with concentrated driver circuit.

D. Experimental Results

Figure 16 shows the micrograph of the fabricated chip based on the low cost 0.6µm process. The chip size is 20.3mm². A number of driver circuits are placed between N-ch and P-ch LDMOS.

Figure 17 shows the measured output characteristics of a large area Nch LDMOS (the effective area 3.6mm²). The on resistance is 9.7mΩ (I Drain current=5A, gate voltage=5V).

Figure 18 shows the switching characteristics of the fabricated chip at the condition of an inductance of 2µH, Vᵢ(HighSide), Vᵢ(LowSide) and Vᵢ(sw) indicate the input gate signals for P-ch LDMOS and N-ch LDMOS and the drain voltage of the P-ch and the N-ch LDMOS devices, respectively. The rise time of V(sw) is 3ns. The fabricated device in the one-chip DC/DC converter exhibited high speed and high current switching capability of 10A.

Figure 19 shows the measured dependence of efficiency on the switching frequency when the input voltage and the output voltage is 12V and 1.3V, respectively. The
difference of maximum efficiency is only 1.1% when the switching frequency is changed from 487kHz to 980kHz.

The final result is easily derived in the following.

The authors thank Yoshihiro Yamaguchi, Toshiyuki Naka, Norto Yasuhara, Tomoko (Matsudai) Seino, Kenichi Matsuhashi, Koichi Endo, Fumito Suzuki, Morio Takahashi, Syotaro Ono, Tomohiro Kawano and Hiroshi Takei for contributing to the present work, and thank Masakazu Yamaguchi and Kouhei Morizuka for providing the opportunity for the present work.

REFERENCES

[20] Y. Kawaguchi et al., 2006 PESC Record, PS 2-35

Akio Nakagawa was born in Nanmori-Mura, Naka-Gun, Japan, on Sep. 25, 1949. He received the B.Sc. and M.Sc. degrees in physics in 1972 and 1974, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree in electrical eng. in 1984, all from Tokyo University, Tokyo, Japan. In 1974, he joined the Toshiba R&D Center, Toshiba Corp., where he has been engaged in the development of various power devices such as GTO thyristors, high-efficiency diodes, power MOSFETs, IGBTs and SOI/BCD power ICs. In 1984, he developed world first non-latch-up IGBTs. In 1990, he invented high voltage SOI device structure. From 2000, he has been engaged in the development of high speed MOSFETs and 1 chip DCDC converters. Along with device development, he developed 2-d. power device simulator TONADDEII in 1984. From Sep. 1981 to Feb. 1983, he was a Visiting Scholar in the Electrical & Comp. Eng. Dept. of the Univ. of Mass., Amherst. He has published over 130 technical papers in international journals and conference proceedings. He received Okochi Memorial Technology Prize in 1990 and Toshiba President Award in 1997 both for the development of non-latch-up IGBTs, and received ISPSD Award in 1998 for his work in high voltage SOI technology. He was granted over 190 U.S. and Japanese patents. He is currently Chief Fellow in Semiconductor Company, Toshiba Corp. Dr. Nakagawa is a member of IEEE, the Japan Society of Applied Physics and the Institute of Electrical Engineers of Japan.

Yusuke Kawaguchi was born in Tokyo, Japan, on October 16, 1967. He received the B.E. and M.E. degrees in chemistry from Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan, in 1991 and 1993, respectively. In 1993, he joined Toshiba Research and Development Center, Kawasaki, where he engaged in development of power ICs. In 1999, he moved to Toshiba Corporation Semiconductor Company, where he has been engaged in development of low voltage power MOSFETs. Mr. Kawaguchi is a member of the institute of electrical engineers of Japan.

Kazutoshi Nakamura was born in Niigata, on September 3, 1973. He received the B.S. and M.E. degrees in electrical engineering from Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan, in 1996, and 1998, respectively. In 1998, he joined the Toshiba Corporation, Kawasaki, where he has been engaged in the research and development of power ICs. His research interests include the lateral power device and DC-DC converter control technique.

Appendix for Section III D

The stored energy in the main junction, P_m, in the turn-off transient can be calculated, assuming step junction approximation and inductive load, where the drain current, I_d, keeps in the same value within the turn-off transient. Using the voltage, V, as a function of depletion layer width, d, the final result is easily derived in the following.

\[ V = \frac{q N_D d^2}{2 \varepsilon}, \quad I_d \Delta d = q N_D \Delta d, \quad V = \frac{I_d^2}{2qN_D \Delta d}, \quad V_d = \frac{I_d^2}{2qN_D}, \]

where \( t_s \) denotes the switching time.

\[ Q_{do} = \frac{1}{6} I_d^2 \left( 2 q N_D V_d \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad P_{max} = 0 \int_0^t V_d dt = \frac{I_d^2}{6qN_D} t_s = \frac{1}{3} Q_{do} V_d \]
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